

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/715,166	11/17/2003	Ting-Dong Zhang	WAX017-185360C 4088	
7590 02/09/2006			EXAMINER	
Eric A. Dichter, Esquire			PAK, JOHN D	
Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP 22nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 Arch Street			1616	
Philadelphia, P	A 19103-2097		DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/715,166	ZHANG, TING-DONG		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		JOHN PAK	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 No</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/702,011.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment	t(s)				
2)  Notica 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/715,166

Art Unit: 1616

This Office action is in reply to applicant's response filed on 11/9/2005.

The amendment filed on 11/9/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the inserted text (underlined in the marked up amendment) in the paragraph beginning on page 2 at line 23 and ending on page 3 at line 2.

As explained before in the previous Office action of 7/5/2005, the pH buffering feature does not find adequate descriptive support from the originally filed disclosure. The amendatory subject matter is new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim10-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement (see the full reasons of record in the previous Office action of 7/5/2005, page 3). The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,166

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant seems to believe that following the rules for executing amendments automatically satisfies all the requirements for adding subject matter into a U.S. Patent Application. Here, even though the clerical-type rules are followed (e.g. underlined new text, whole paragraph replacement, etc.), that does not change the fact that the added subject matter itself contains new matter, which does not find adequate descriptive support from the originally filed disclosure. The originally filed disclosure makes no mention of a pH buffering agent in general or the specific agents as the pH buffering agent.

Applicant also seems to believe that "readily apparent" information can be added without triggering new matter. The Examiner cannot agree. The correct standard is whether the originally filed disclosure reasonably conveyed the subject matter at issue. Here, the originally filed subject matter relative to the formulation of arsenic trioxide never mentions anything about buffering the formulation. Not once in any discussion or any examples is there any mention of a buffering agent. Applicant discloses on specification page 4, lines 14-19 that "The detailed procedure for the preparation of the composition comprises boiling 1000 ml of sterile water for injection, adding arsenic trioxide, boiling for a further 30 minutes until the arsenic trioxide is completely dissolved, adding sodium chloride and q.s. with water to 1000 ml." Even in the detailed procedure the originally filed disclosure failed to convey a pH buffering agent.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,166

Art Unit: 1616

For these reasons, the Examiner maintains that the claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JOHN PAK whose telephone number is (571)272-0620. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,166 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's SPE, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571)272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMMER GEGLEP 1300